## OFFICIAL FILE 11.11.01S COMMENCE COMMISSION TATE OF ILLINOIS



## APR 28 10 09 AM ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

Commonwealth Edison Company	)	
OHIEF OLENA S OFFICE	)	Docket No. 00-0259
Petition for expedited approval of	)	
implementation of a market-based	)	
alternative tariff, to become effective on or	)	
before May 1, 2000, pursuant to Section 16-	)	
112 of the Public Utilties Act.	)	

## PEOPLES ENERGY SERVICES CORPORATION REPLY TO BRIEFS ON EXCEPTIONS

Pursuant to 83 Illinois Administrative Code Section 200.830, Peoples Energy Services Corporation ("PE Services"), by one of its attorneys, Timothy P. Walsh, hereby submits this Reply to Briefs on Exceptions ("BOE") to the Hearing Examiner's Proposed Order ("HEPO") in the above-entitled cause which was served on April 21, 2000. PE Services served its BOE on April 24, 2000. There is nothing in the BOEs of the other parties that detracts from PE Services' positions taken in its BOE. PE Services relies on its arguments in its BOE.

PE Services continues to support the Commonwealth Edison Company's ("ComEd") market-based alternative tariff ("Rider PPO-MI"). In that vein, PE Services is extremely concerned with any modification to ComEd's proposal that prevents ComEd from implementing its Rider PPO-MI. ComEd in its BOE only found one modification the HEPO adopts that it cannot accept. ComEd BOE at 2. Therefore, that modification, which would require ComEd to allow customers to choose Rider PPO-NFF subsequent to implementation of Rider PPO-MI and require the Rider PPO-NFF to continue indefinitely, is of utmost concern to PE Services as explained in detail below. HEPO at 25. PE Services also has a lesser but important concern with three other issues as follows: 1) that the Post Exceptions Proposed Order ("PEPO") adopt the MidAmerican Energy Company ("MEC")-CMS Marketing, Services and Trading's ("CMS Marketing")

transition provision; 2) that the PEPO adopt an appropriate Rider PPO-MI sunset provision; and, 3) that the PEPO offer an appropriate opportunity for continuing the development of a market based alternative and time-line to have a market based alternate tariff in place before the Rider PPO-MI terminates. PE Services stands on its BOE with respect to the transition provision, but will discuss the remaining two issues following its discussion of the critical Rider PPO-NFF issue.

ComEd emphatically states it will not implement the Rider PPO-MI while the Commission insists the Rider PPO-NFF remain in place indefinitely. ComEd BOE at 2. Consequently, it is imperative that the PEPO eliminates such requirement. ComEd states that failure to cancel the Rider PPO-NFF is anti-competitive, counterproductive and violates Section 16-112 of the Act. <u>Id</u>. at 3-4. PE Services generally supports ComEd's assertions and more specifically, with respect to the HEPO's position forcing ComEd to keep Rider PPO-NFF in place, believes that requirement would violate Section 16-112(a) of the Act. Section 16-112(a) of the Act requires, in pertinent part, that the market value be determined in accordance with <u>either</u> (i) a tariff filed pursuant to Article IX based on a market index, <u>or</u> (ii) if no such tariff is in place based on the NFF process. 220 ILCS 5/16-112(a) (emphasis added). Because, ComEd will not accept this modification, and it has demonstrated why the modification is inappropriate, and it will violate Section 16-112(a) of the Act, the PEPO should amend the HEPO language in accordance with ComEd's BOE.

With respect to PE Services' remaining two issues, PE Services first addresses the sunset provision. Parties both supporting and opposing ComEd's Petition have suggested that if the Commission approves the Petition it include a sunset provision. ComEd suggests that it cannot accept a sunset provision. ComEd BOE at 2. But in essence ComEd accepts a sunset provision by providing for hearings on the continuation of the Rider PPO-MI to allow a final order on May 2001. Id. at 5. PE Services believes the parties and customers are best served if the PEPO does not

modify the HEPO's sunset provision effective with the customers' May 2001 billing period. HEPO at 25. The HEPO raises valid concerns about the permanency of Rider PPO-MI and the sunset provision offers a valuable opportunity to allow review of the Rider PPO-MI that is admittedly being implemented on short notice.

PE Services' final issue is determining the best process to continue the discussion and review of market alternatives. Several parties have suggested that Staff schedule and moderate workshops. These parties partially base their proposal on the need for uniformity throughout the state. However, since under Section 16-112(m) of the Act no electric utility can presently be forced to adopt a market-based tariff, uniformity is an unlikely result of workshops. 220 ILCS 5/16-112(m). On the other hand, ComEd in its BOE has offered a workable alternative to parties' immediate concern with the ComEd Rider PPO-MI. ComEd's suggested process includes the following: 1) the Commission enter an interim order in this docket, directing ComEd to file a report evaluating the effect of implementing its Rider PPO-MI; 2) Staff schedule workshops in the fall of 2000; 3) the Hearing Examiner schedule hearings, if required, on the continuation or modification of Rider PPO-MI in February or March 2001; and, 4) the Commission issue a final order in May 2001. PE Services supports ComEd's scheduling proposal, although it is not opposed to Staff moderating workshops on a unified Illinois market index alternative.

Wherefore, PE Services recommends that the PEPO: 1) exclude HEPO language requiring ComEd to keep its Rider PPO-NFF in place indefinitely; 2) include language supporting and adopting the MEC-CMS Marketing transition proposal; 3) remain unchanged with respect to the HEPO requiring the PPO-MI include a May 2001 sunset provision; and, 4) include language stating it is an interim order and establishing a schedule for reviewing and modifying the ComEd Rider PPO-MI by May 2001.

Dated at Chicago, Illinois this 25th day of April 2000.

By\_

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLES ENERGY SERVICES CORPORATION

TIMOTHY P. WALSH

An Attorney for

Peoples Energy Services Corporation

James Hinchliff
Gerard T. Fox
Mary Klyasheff
Timothy P. Walsh
Attorneys for
Peoples Energy Services Corporation
130 East Randolph Drive
23rd Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Telephone: (312) 240-4454

facsimile: (312) 240-4486 e-mail: twalsh@pecorp.com

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served this Peoples Energy Services Corporation Reply to Briefs on Exceptions of by electronic mail and by placing a copy thereof in the United States mail with first class postage affixed, addressed to each of the parties of record in III.C.C. Docket No. 00-0259.

Dated at Chicago, Illinois this 25<sup>th</sup> day of April 2000.

TIMOTHY P. WALSH

An Attorney for

Peoples Energy Services Corporation

Joseph H. Raybuck Atty. for Central Illinois Public Service and Union Electric One Ameren Plaza 1901 Chouteau Avenue PO Box 66149 St. Louis, MO 63166-6149 \*

Daniel D. McDevitt Atty. for Unicom Energy Gardner, Carton & Douglas 321 N. Clark St. Chicago, IL 60610-4795 \*

Leijuana Doss/Marie Spicuzza Assistant State's Attorney Environment and Energy Division 69 W. Washington, Ste. 700 Chicago, IL 60602 \*

Mark Kaminski Public Utilities Bureau People of the State of Illinois 100 W. Randolph St., 12th Fl. Chicago, IL 60601 \*

Alan H. Neff & Ronald D. Jolly City of Chicago 30 N. LaSalle St., Ste. 900 Chicago, IL 60602-2580 \*

Robert Ivanauskas Citizens Utility Board 208 S. LaSalle St., Ste. 1760 Chicago, IL 60604 \* Sarah J. Read
D. Cameron Findlay
Courtney A. Rosen
Sidley & Austin
Atty. for Commonwealth Edison
Bank One Plaza
10 S. Dearborn
Chicago, IL 60603 \*

Rebecca J. Lauer
Deputy General Counsel
E. Glenn Rippie
Acting Associate General Counsel
Commonwealth Edison Company
125 S. Clark St.
Chicago, IL 60603 \*

Steven G. Revethis & John Feeley Office of General Counsel Illinois Commerce Commission 160 N. LaSalle St., Ste. C-800 Chicago, IL 60601-3104 \*

Christopher Townsend
David I. Fein
Karen S. Way
Attys. for Enron Energy Services, Inc.
Piper Marbury Rudnick & Wolfe
203 N. LaSalle St., Ste. 1800
Chicago, IL 60601-1293 \*

Susan Landwehr Enron Energy Services, Inc. 900 Second Ave. S., Ste. 890 Minneapolis, MN 55402 \*

Christopher W. Flynn Holly D. Gordon Paul T. Ruxin Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue 77 W. Wacker Dr., Ste. 3500 Chicago, IL 60601 \*

R. Lawrence Warren Public Utilities Bureau People of the State of Illinois 100 W. Randolph St., 12<sup>th</sup> Fl. Chicago, IL 60601 \* Edward J. Griffin W. Michael Seidel Central Illinois Light Company Defrees & Fiske 200 S. Michigan Ave., Ste. 1100 Chicago, IL 60604 \*

Thomas J. Augspurger, Esq. NewEnergy Midwest, L.L.C. 29 S. LaSalle St., Ste. 900 Chicago, IL 60603 \*

Eric Robertson Lueders, Robertson & Konzen Atty. for Illinois Industrial Energy Consumers 1939 Delmar Avenue P.O. Box 735 Granite City, IL 62040 \*

Joseph L. Lakshmanan, Esq. Illinois Power Company 500 S. 27<sup>th</sup> St. Decatur, IL 62521-2200 \*

Philip R. O'Connor Kennan Walsh Thomas J. Augspurger NewEnergy Midwest, L.L.C. 29 S. LaSalle St., Ste. 900 Chicago, IL 60603 \*

Michael A. Munson Atty. for Nicor Energy L.L.C. & Sieben Energy Associates Law Office of Michael A. Munson 8300 Sears Tower 233 S. Wacker Dr. Chicago, IL 60606 \*

Freddi L. Greenberg Atty. for Midwest Independent Power Supplier Coordination Group 1603 Orrington Ave., Ste. 1050 Evanston, IL 60201 \*

Michael J. Sheridan CMS Marketing Services and Trading Company One Jackson Sq., Ste. 1060 Jackson, MI 49201 \*

A. Robert Lasich, Jr.
 MidAmerican Energy Co.
 666 Grand Avenue, 8<sup>th</sup> Fl.
 Des Moines, IA 50303-0657 \*

-2 -